

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/293,293	04/16/1999	ROXANA HAMEDANI	1400.9801260	4686	
25697	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMI	NER	
ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC.			. DUONG,	DUONG, DUC T	
SUITE 107	ASIN KD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78746			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

SL

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/293,293	HAMEDANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc T. Duong	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diperiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	25 March 2004					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or		•				
,—	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-34</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24,27-29,31 and 34</u> is/are rej	ected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23,25,26,30,32 and 33</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. □						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	-					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	8) 5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

Application/Control Number: 09/293,293

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Application Serial No. 08/924,657 and 09/200,444 need to be update (i.e. the application serial number should be replace by its corresponding patent).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 22, 24, 27-29, 31, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham et al (U.S. Patent 6,097,722) in view of Robrock, II (U.S. Patent 5,932,402).

Regarding to claims 22, and 29, Graham discloses an apparatus (Fig. 1A) for connection admission control comprising a processor 140, a memory 145 operably coupled to the processor, wherein the memory stores a connection admission control algorithm, wherein when executed by the processor, the connection admission control algorithm causes the processor to determine, in response to a request for a virtual path aggregation, if there is a trunk group (Fig. 5A, 513, 5C, and 6, `path group'), within a source switch 130x (Fig. 1A), suitable for the virtual path aggregation (Fig. 8 col. 7 lines 29-35); reject the virtual path aggregation request when there is a trunk group within the

Art Unit: 2663

switch suitable for the virtual path aggregation and bandwidth characteristics of the virtual path aggregation compare unfavorably (overload) with bandwidth limitations of the trunk group (Fig. 8 col. 8 lines 10-14); and create the virtual path aggregation (Fig. 8, the step of setup connection) such that the virtual path aggregation corresponds to the trunk group when there is a trunk group within the switch suitable for the virtual path aggregation (Fig. 8, the step of determine VP group and VP) and the bandwidth characteristics of the virtual path aggregation compare favorably with bandwidth limitations of the trunk group (Fig. 8, the step of determine whether the bandwidth available).

Graham fails to teach wherein the request indicates a desired data path between the source switch and a destination switch, wherein the request includes a traffic descriptor.

However, Robrock discloses a broadband intelligent network employs an ATM switch to route signaling cells, wherein the signaling cells included a VPI/VCI values 201 (desired data path) and a payload field 202 used to request a particular service (Fig. 6A col. 5 lines 24-34).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ signaling cells as taught by Robrock in Graham's system to established switched virtual connections. The motivation to do so would have been to provide a means for managing various connections and service requested.

Application/Control Number: 09/293,293

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding to claims 24, 27, and 31, Graham suggests creating virtual path aggregation such that the virtual path aggregation supports permanent virtual connections or switched virtual connections (col. 2 lines 4-16).

Regarding to claims 28 and 34, Graham discloses for the virtual path aggregation is a virtual path connections that supports at least one virtual channel connections, wherein set-up and shaping of the virtual path aggregation provides set up and shaping of the at least one virtual channel connection (Fig. 7A and 7B col. 12 lines 49-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 23, 25, 26, 30, 32, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 703-605-5146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2663

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DD

PATENT AKAMMEN